KONRAD RAYNES & VICTOR, LLP

315 S. Beverly Drive, Suite 210 Beverly Hills, California 90212

Telephone: (310) 556-7983 Facsimile: (310) 556-7984

FAX COVER SHEET

PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE TO EXAMINER KACY VERDI

TO: Commissioner for Patents

Attn: Examiner Kacy Verdi

Group Art Unit: 2194

FROM:

David W. Victor

OUR REF:

0077.0019

TELEPHONE: 310-556-7983

Total pages, including cover letter: 2

PTO FAX NUMBER: <u>1-571-270-2654</u>

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at 310-556-7983, or fax us at 310-556-7984.

Description of Documents Transmitted:

INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

Applicant:

S. HEN et al.

Serial No.:

10/654,743

Filed:

September 3, 2003

Group Art Unit:

2194

Docket No.:

P16176

CERTIFIÇATE		

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to Examiner Kacy Verdi of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on August 22, 2007.

David Victor/

David W. Victor

3108397217

PTOL-413A 0077.0019

	Annlies	mt Initiated In	tomion Dogo	and Tours	0077.001			
		nt Initiated In						
Application No.: 10/654,743 First Named Application: S. HEN et al. Examiner: Kacy Verdi Art Unit: 2194 Status of Application: After First Office Action								
			an air the bost and an are	THE PARTY OF THE P	******			
Tentative Partic (1) <u>David W. Vic</u>	ipants: :tor	(2) William l	D. Thompson		•			
(3)		(4) <u></u>						
Proposed Date o	Proposed Date of Interview: August 22, 2007 Proposed Time: 2:00 PM (EST)							
Type of Intervice (1) 🔯 Telephoni	w Requested: ic (2) Perso	nal (3) 🔲 Video	Conference					
•	() —	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
If yes, provide a h	wn or demonstrated: orief description:	☐ Yes	No	•				
m you, provide a c	met description.		•					
Issues to be Discussed								
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed			
(1) Sec. 102	1	Ogawa						
(2) Scc. 103	3	Ogawa and Cobert	. 🗆					
Continuation S								
Brief Description of Argument to be Presented:								
With respect to cla	aim 1, want to discus	ss cited cols 9-10 of	Orann that diamon	4				
With respect to claim 1, want to discuss cited cols. 9-10 of Ogawa that discuss how kernel threads are executed to process an I/O request from a user process, and discuss why this citation does not disclose the requirement that a kernel module execute a kernel thread to call device driver function in a kernel context, where the device driver functions are those device driver functions careful of heire and the context of the device driver functions.								
functions are those	cute a kernel thread device driver funct	to call device driver	function in a kernel	context, where the	device driver			
cited ools, 9-10 dis	cuss kernel threads	that may be impeded at	s canco by system c	alls in the user conte	ext. Instead, the			
cited cols. 9-10 discuss kernel threads that may be invoked to process an I/O request from a user process. There is functions that system calls from the user mode or user process.								
functions that system calls from the user mode or user processes may invoke. Instead, in the cited Ogawa, the kernel threads are invoked when the user process issues an I/O request.								
The Lot process issues in 100 request.								
With respect to claim 3, want to discuss cited section 11.1.2 that mentions that the kernel mode may run a help								
device declares the functions that act on it. Nowhere declares that with the char and block drivers, each network								
thread access device information from the device.								
May also want to discuss claims 5 and 14.								
/David Victor/								
Applicant/Application	nt's Representative S	Signature						
		- Camilla (C)	(Examir	er/SPE Signature)				